

Grafting to improve bitter melon (*Mormodica charantia* L.) productivity and fruit quality

By

Thanh Son Le

Master of Forestry in Silviculture

Supervisors:

Dr Sophie E. Parks

Dr Paul D. Roach

Dr Len Tesoriero

Dr Suzie Newman

August 2018

School of Environmental and Life Sciences

Faculty of Science

University of Newcastle

Australia

Thesis submitted for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN FOOD SCIENCE

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

This thesis contains no material which previously has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any universities or tertiary institution. Further, to the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

Thanh Son Le

Date: 30th August 2018.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my special gratitude to my supervisor Dr Sophie E. Parks, for her guidance, encouragement, consultancy, patience, trust and understanding. She has been really conscientious in helping me to overcome the obstacles and make the completion for this thesis.

I greatly appreciate my co-supervisors Dr. Paul D. Roach, Dr. Len Tesoriero, and Dr. Suzie Newman for their support and invaluable advice during my study.

I would like to specially thank the Australia Awards and ACIAR – Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research for awarding me a full scholarship to study in Australia.

I would like to specially thank Joshua Jarvis, who supported and assisted me in the operation of the greenhouse systems and helped in conducting my experiments.

I would like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Minh Nguyen, who was a co-supervisor, and Mrs. Penny O’rock, a language teacher who helped me during my initial years studying at the University of Newcastle.

I would like to express appreciation to Dr. Quan Vuong, Associate Professor Dr. Chris Scarlett and Professor Dr. Martin Francis, for helping and encouraging me during my study. I would like to thank Mrs. Lynne William, my scholarship manager and Ms. Pam Steenkamp and Ms. Nicole Day for their administrative support.

Thanks are gratefully given to all of the staff within the School of Environmental and Life Sciences, the University of Newcastle, Ourimbah Campus, Australia and the National Institute of Medicinal Materials, Ministry of Health, Vietnam, for their huge assistance during my research program.

I take this opportunity to convey my sincere thanks to the Central Coast Primary Industries Centre, NSW Department Primary Industries for their excellent technical assistance with this study.

I would like to thank my friends, Mrs. Thuy Nguyen, Mrs. Xuan Tran, Mr. Tang Nguyen, Mr. Chuyen Hoang, Mr. Thanh Dang, Mrs. Quynh Pham and others, who provided support, time and advice.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge my parents, my wife, lovely son Minh Hieu and my beloved daughter Bao Ngan for their support, encouragement and sacrifice during my PhD research program.

ABSTRACT

Bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.) is a tropical and sub-tropical plant, which is widely cultivated in Asia and Africa. Bitter melon fruit has a remarkably long history of use as food and traditional medicine because it has high nutritional value and bioactive compounds. The demand for bitter melon is increasing but its cultivation is facing some challenges, such as low yielding varieties, soil-borne diseases and limited growth in harsh conditions. Traditional cultivation and/or the use of indigenous varieties are the main causes of low productivity compared to the commercial high-yielding varieties. Moreover, soil-borne diseases can also lead to yield loss. *Pythium* root rot and *Fusarium* wilt are common diseases that cause the death of seedlings and mature plants. Bitter melon performs poorly in unfavourable conditions, such as saline soil and cold temperatures. Unfortunately, bitter melon is increasingly being produced in sub-optimal conditions, including high salinity, and this is particularly the case in Vietnam. Therefore, it is important to improve the productivity of local varieties that can be tolerant to salinity and resistance to diseases.

The aim of this study was to improve the productivity and performance of a Vietnamese bitter melon variety (VINO 12) by grafting it on different rootstocks that may improve productivity, increase soil-borne disease resistance and enable it to be grown under saline conditions. In this study, rootstock seedlings were exposed to salinity and *Pythium aphanidermatum* treatments to evaluate their resilience to these stresses. The three rootstocks used in this study were pumpkin (*Cucurbita maxima*) varieties including Queensland Blue (Qb), Sampson (Sp) and Ringer (Rg). These were chosen because they are less affected by soil-borne diseases in Australia. Initially, the survival rate of the three rootstock and bitter melon scion seedlings was determined based on resistance to *Pythium aphanidermatum* and salinity. Then, the three rootstocks were used for grafting bitter melon and grown in subsequent experiments. Two grafting methods were applied, the single leaf splice (SLS) method and the tongue approach (TA) method. The most successful grafting method (SLS) was used in subsequent experiments. The grafted bitter melon plants were grown indoors and outdoors for two subsequent seasons (off season in 2016 and main season in 2017) under saline and non-saline conditions. The growth, fruit yield and fruit quality of the grafted plants grown under the different conditions were assessed to compare with controls (ungrafted and self-grafted) grown under the same conditions.

When tested with *Pythium aphanidermatum*, the Sp rootstock had the lowest rate of seedling death (29%) while Rg was second best (44%), bitter melon was the second worst (63%) and Qb was the worst (96%). All three rootstock and the scion seedlings could grow under saline conditions (16 dSm⁻¹) with survival rates of 60% and above. However, at 26 dSm⁻¹, the Sp rootstock seedlings had the highest survival rate (76%) and the Qb rootstock was the second best (52%) while the Rg rootstock and the bitter melon seedlings did not survive (0%).

The SLS grafting method was more successful than the TA method. The SLS method had a success rate of 81-91% for all three rootstocks, whereas the TA method only achieved a 60-76% success rate. The SLS method was then applied for grafting with the three rootstocks for growing in the subsequent experiments.

All three rootstocks and saline conditions at 16 dSm⁻¹ did not significantly affect the development of the grafted plants grown indoors and outdoors for both main seasons and off seasons. However, the number of female flowers, fruits and fruit yield was influenced by the three rootstocks. In general, the grafted plants had more female flowers and fruits as well as a higher fruit yield than those of the control. Among the three rootstocks, the Rg and Sp rootstocks were found to have the highest fruit yield, which were from 45-53% and 39-64% higher for Rg and from 33-71% and 10-31% higher for Sp than that of the control plants under saline and non-saline conditions, respectively.

In terms of fruit quality, there was no consistent effect of the rootstocks and salinity. However, the Qb rootstock gave the best fruit quality under some limited and specific growing conditions. The main observation was that bitter melon fruit grown during the main season 2017 had higher TSC, TPC and antioxidant capacity than the fruits grown during the off season 2016. Of these, the fruits grown outdoor during the main season 2017 also had the highest TSC, TPC and antioxidant capacity. The values were 2-3 times higher for TSC, 9-10 times higher for TPC and 5-20 times higher for antioxidant activities for the plants grown outdoor during the main season 2017 than for those grown indoor.

In conclusion, the Sp rootstock seedlings had the highest resistance to *Pythium aphanidermatum* and salinity. The SLS method was superior for grafting bitter melon to rootstocks and all three rootstocks were suitable for grafting with the Vietnamese VINO 12 bitter melon scion. Among the three rootstocks, the Rg and Sp rootstocks were found to give the highest bitter melon fruit yield under both saline and non-saline conditions. However, there was no consistent effect of the rootstocks and salinity on the fruit quality although the Qb

rootstock gave the best fruit quality under some limited and specific growing conditions. Furthermore, growing the bitter melons outside during the summer season caused the biggest increase by far in the fruit TSC, TPC and antioxidant capacity. Therefore, the Sp rootstock is recommended to be used as rootstock for resistance to *Pythium* and salinity, while Rg and Qb are suggested to be used as rootstock for fruit yield and fruit quality, respectively, under select conditions.

Contents

ABSTRACT	iv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, SCIENTIFIC SYMBOLS AND UNITS OF MEASUREMENT	xv
Units of measurement	xvii
CHAPTER 1 LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 An overview of <i>Momordica charantia</i> L. and its characteristics	2
1.1.1 <i>Biological characteristics</i>	2
1.1.2 <i>Nutritional and medicinal properties</i>	11
1.2 Challenges for bitter melon production	16
1.2.1 <i>Diseases</i>	16
1.2.3 <i>Bitter melon crop production</i>	22
1.3 Grafting to improve vegetable production and quality	26
1.3.1 <i>An overview of vegetable grafting</i>	26
1.3.2 <i>Utilisation of grafting in plant production</i>	28
1.4 Benefits of grafting vegetables	28
1.4.1 <i>The purposes of grafting vegetables</i>	28
1.4.2 <i>Grafting plants to reduce the impact of diseases and improve disease management</i>	29
1.4.3 <i>Grafting plants to improve quality and productivity</i>	32
1.5 Assessing rootstocks	35
1.6 Conclusion	38
1.7 Hypothesis, Aims and Objectives	39
1.7.1 <i>Hypothesis</i>	39
1.7.2 <i>The Main Aim and Objectives</i>	39
1.7.3 <i>Summarised diagram of the proposed research</i>	40
1.7.4 <i>The list of experiments</i>	42

CHAPTER 2 GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	44
2.1 Plant materials	44
2.2 Seedling and grafting.....	44
2.3 Production.....	45
2.3.1 <i>Growing conditions and fertilising</i>	45
2.3.2 <i>Production techniques</i>	48
2.4 Determination of bioactive components and antioxidant properties	49
2.5 Isolation pathogen and pathogenicity testing	50
2.6 Statistical analysis	50
CHAPTER 3 EXAMINATION OF SEED GERMINATION TIME, TESTING SEEDLING RESISTANCE TO SALINITY AND SOIL BORN DISEASES, AND DETERMINATION OF GRAFTING METHODS	51
3.1 Introduction	51
3.2 Materials and Methods	53
3.2.1 <i>Seed germination tests and determination of the time from sowing to seedling maturity for grafting</i>	53
3.2.2 <i>Effects of grafting methods on the rate of success</i>	55
3.2.3 <i>Effects of saline conditions on the development and survival of rootstock seedlings</i>	57
3.2.4 <i>Effects of Pythium aphanidermatum on the development of rootstock seedlings</i>	58
3.2.5 <i>Statistical analysis</i>	60
3.3 Results	60
3.3.1 <i>Seed germination tests and determination of the time from sowing to seedling maturity, with four varieties used as scion and rootstocks.</i>	60
3.3.2 <i>The effects of grafting methods on grafting success rate</i>	64
3.3.3 <i>The effects of salinity on the seedlings</i>	66
3.3.4 <i>The resistance of Pythium on the rootstocks and scions</i>	69
3.4 Discussion.....	72

3.5 Conclusion.....	74
CHAPTER 4 THE EVALUATION OF ROOTSTOCKS ON BITTER MELON PRODUCTION AS AFFECTED BY SALINITY	
4.1 Introduction	75
4.2 Materials and Methods	77
4.2.1 <i>The effects of rootstock on the development of bitter melon plants that were grown under saline conditions in off season and main season</i>	78
4.2.2 <i>The effects of rootstock on fruit productivity in grafted plants growing under saline conditions in off seasons and main seasons</i>	80
4.2.3 <i>Statistical analysis</i>	81
4.3.1 <i>Effects of rootstocks and saline conditions on the development of grafted plants grown in the off season 2016.</i>	81
4.3.2 <i>Effects of rootstocks on the number of female flowers</i>	84
4.3.3 <i>Effects of rootstocks on the stem diameter and fresh weight</i>	85
4.3.4 <i>The comparison between the fruit set of grafted bitter melon grown inside greenhouse in the 2016 off-season and 2017 main season</i>	88
4.3.5 <i>The effects of rootstocks on the number of fruit and yield</i>	89
4.4 Effects of growing conditions and growing seasons on fruit yield of grafted bitter melon plants.....	94
4.4.1 <i>Effects of growing conditions and growing seasons on the number of fruit and fruit weight harvested weekly in grafted bitter melon plants</i>	94
4.4.2 <i>Effects of growing conditions and growing seasons on the numbers of fruits, fruit weight and total yield</i>	95
4.5 Discussion.....	96
4.6 Conclusion.....	99
CHAPTER 5 THE EFFECT OF ROOTSTOCKS ON BITTER MELON FRUIT QUALITY UNDER DIFFERENT GROWING CONDITIONS	
5.1 Introduction	101

5.2 Materials and Methods	103
5.2.1 <i>Materials</i>	103
5.2.2 <i>Methods for measurement of physical properties</i>	104
5.2.3 <i>Methods for determination of chemical properties</i>	104
5.2.4 <i>Methods for determination of antioxidant capacity</i>	106
5.2.5 <i>Statistical analysis</i>	108
5.3 Results	109
5.3.1 <i>Effects of rootstocks and salinity of growing medium on physical properties</i>	109
5.3.2 <i>Effects of rootstocks and the salinity of the growing medium on the saponin and phenolic compound content</i>	113
5.3.3 <i>Effects of rootstocks and the salinity of growing medium on antioxidant activity</i>	115
5.4 Discussion.....	118
5.5 Conclusions	121
CHAPTER 6 GENERAL DISCUSSION.....	123
6.1 Seed germination time, grafting methods and seedling resistance to <i>Pythium</i> and salinity	124
6.2 Effect of rootstocks and growing conditions on the development of grafted bitter melon plants and fruit yields	128
6.3 Effect of rootstocks and growing conditions on grafted bitter melon fruit quality	130
6.4 Relevance of results to conditions in Vietnam	133
6.5 Conclusion	134

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	The name of <i>Momordica charantia</i> L. in some main areas and continents.....	3
Table 1.2	The characteristic of fruit types evaluated in Australian research.....	8
Table 1.3	The characteristics of Vietnamese bitter melon varieties	10
Table 1.4	Proximate principles and nutrient composition of bitter melon fruit	12
Table 1.5	Worldwide ethnobotanical uses of bitter melon	14
Table 1.6	The area of saline soil in two largest agricultural productions in Vietnam	21
Table 1.7	Effects of salinity levels on the fruit yield of some cucurbit species	21
Table 1.8	The climatic classification of bitter melon and some other cucurbit crops	23
Table 1.9	Soil pH conditions used to grow bitter melon	24
Table 1.10	The purposes of using grafted cucurbits.....	29
Table 1.11	Grafting for disease resistance.....	31
Table 1.12	General guidelines for some Cucurbit species response to soil salinity	35
Table 1.13	Experimental studies and preliminary assessments of grafted bitter melon.....	37
Table 1.14	Timetable for growing bitter melon in some parts of Australia	40
Table 1.15	Experiments conducted.....	42
Table 2.1	Seed sources used in this study as scion and rootstocks.....	44
Table 2.2	The differences in temperature and humidity indoors and outdoors in off seasons and main seasons during the experiments	46
Table 2.3	Nutrient formula for growing bitter melon.....	46
Table 2.4	Saline conditions used to grow grafted bitter melon	47
Table 2.5	The locations of plants grown inside greenhouse.....	48
Table 2.6	The location of plants grown outside greenhouse (main season 2017)	48
Table 3.1	The strategies of grafting methods used in the research.....	56
Table 3.2	Salinity levels supplied.....	58
Table 3.3	Cumulative germination for rootstock and scion seeds	61

Table 3.4 The time from sowing to grafting	62
Table 3.5 The diameter and height of seedling for rootstocks and scions.....	63
Table 3.6 Value representing the effects of Splice and Slide grafting methods on the success rate of new Bitter melon grafted plants	65
Table 3.8 The effects of Pythium on rootstock and scion seedlings.....	70
Table 3.7 Salinity resistance of rootstock seedlings	71
Table 4.2 Effects of rootstocks on the leaf size and number (in the 2016 off season).....	82
Table 4.1 Effects of rootstocks on the growth of grafted plants (off season 2016).....	83
Table 4.3 Effects of rootstocks and salinity on the number of female flowers	85
Table 4.4 Effects of grafting combination and saline condition on the diameter of scion and plant fresh weight grown in climate-controlled greenhouses and outdoor, in the off seasons and main seasons	87
Table 4.5 Fruit set (%) under both growing conditions in the 2016 off-season and the 2017 main season for plants grown in climate-controlled greenhouses and hand pollination	88
Table 4.6 Effects of saline conditions and grafting combination on fruit number, diameter, length, weight and yield of the plants grown indoors in the off season 2016	91
Table 4.7 Effects of saline conditions and grafting combination on fruit number, diameter, length, weight and yield of the plants grown indoors in the main season 2017	92
Table 4.8 Effects of saline conditions and grafting combination on fruit number, diameter, length, weight and yield of the plants grown outdoors in the main season 2017	93
Table 4.9 Effects of growing conditions and growing seasons on the number of fruit and average of fruit weight harvested weekly.....	94
Table 4.10 Effects of temperature and relative humidity on the number of fruit per bitter melon plant, the weight of fruit and total yield in differences growing seasons and conditions	96
Table 5.1 Effects of rootstocks and salinity of growing medium on the moisture content and firmness of bitter melon fruits.....	110
Table 5.2 Effect of rootstock and salinity on the fruit colour.....	111
Table 5.3 Effects of rootstocks and salinity of growing medium on total saponins and total phenolic compounds in bitter melon fruits.....	114

Table 5.4 Effects of rootstocks and saline conditions on the antioxidant property of the fruits (ABTS, DPPH and FRAP assays).....	116
Table 6.1 General guidelines for some Cucurbit species and bitter melon response to saline conditions.....	125
Table 6.2 Comparison of the grafted fruit yields grown under non-saline and saline conditions in different growing seasons.....	129

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 <i>Momordica charantia</i> (Bich et al. 2006).....	4
Figure 1.2 Bitter melon leaf: first leaf (a) and mature leaf (b)	5
Figure 3.1 Bitter melon scion grafted onto Qb, Sp and Rg rootstocks by TA grafting method. The rootstock was grafted when cotyledons and the first true leaf start to develop.....	54
Figure 3.2 Bitter melon scion grafted onto Qb, Sp and Rg rootstocks by TA grafting method. The rootstock was grafted when cotyledons and the first true leaf start to develop.....	56
Figure 3.3 Bitter melon seedlings	
Figure 3.4 Queensland Blue seedlings	63
Figure 3.5 Sampson seedlings	
Figure 3.6 Ringer seedlings	64
Figure 3.7 Data are the means (n = 5), representing the negative effects of salinity of the growing medium solution on the survival rate of the Qb seedlings	66
Figure 3.8 Data are the means (n = 5), representing the negative effects of salinity of the growing medium solution on the survival rate of the Sp seedlings.....	68
Figure 3.9 Data are the means (n = 5), representing the negative effects of salinity of the growing medium solution on the survival rate of the Rg seedlings	68
Figure 3.10 Data are the means (n = 5), presenting the negative effects of salinity of the growing medium solution on the survival rate of the Bm seedlings.	68
Figure 3.11 The symptoms of salinity effects on bitter melon seedlings at the different salinity levels: a (16.0 dSm ⁻¹), b (18.0 dSm ⁻¹), c (20.0 dSm ⁻¹) and d (22.0 dSm ⁻¹).....	69
Figure 3.12 <i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i> recovered from the roots of the seedlings after 24 hours incubation at 25°C.	70
Figure 4.1 The increase of saline solutions applied per an experimental plant and maintained weekly from week 9.....	79
Figure 4.2 The correlation between ambient temperature and number of fruits. Data were collected indoors (2 crops) and outdoors (1 crop).....	95
Figure 4.3 The correlation between ambient temperature and fruit weight. Data were collected indoors (2crops) and outdoors (1 crop).....	96
Figure 6.1 Monthly average temperatures for the Red river and Cuu Long river deltas in Vietnam	133

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, SCIENTIFIC SYMBOLS AND UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Abbreviations

a*	Red/green coordinate
b*	Yellow/blue coordinate
C	Chroma
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CRD	Complete randomized design
DAP	Days after pollinating
DAS	Days after sowing
DW	Dry weight
EC	Electrical conductivity
ECe	Electrical conductivity of the soil saturation extract
Fig	Figure
FW	Fresh weight
GA ₃	Gibbrellic acid
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
Ho	Hue angle
H ₂ SO ₄	Sulphuric acid
Kgf	Kilograms force
K ₂ S ₂ O ₈	Potassium persulfate
L	Lightness
MeOH	Methanol
mL	Millilitre
mm	Millimetre
mPDA	Modified Potato Dextrose Agar
NAA	α - Naphthalene acetic acid
NaCl	Natri clorua
NaOCl	Javel or Hypochlorite
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
Na ₂ CO ₃	Soda or Sodium carbonate

NPK	Nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K)
PA	<i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i>
PCA	Potato carrot agar
RHD	Rootstock hypocotyls diameter
RH	Relative humidity
SE	Standard error
SD	Standard deviation
SHD	Scion hypocotyls diameter
SLS	Single leaf splice
TA	Tongue approach grafting method
WA	Water agar

Units of measurement

%	Percentage
°C	Degree Celsius
dS/m (dSm ⁻¹)	Siemens per meter
g	Gram
g/L	Gram per litre
kg	Kilogram
cm	Centimeter
g g ⁻¹	Gram per gram
mg g ⁻¹	Milligram per gram
mm	Millimeter
nm	Nanometer
μl	Microliter
μm	Micromole
v/v	Volume per volume

COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAMES OF CROPS USED AND MENTIONED IN THIS STUDY

Common name	Scientific name
Bitter melon, bitter gourd	<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.
Bitter melon (small fruit)	<i>Momordica charantia</i> L. var. <i>minima</i> Williams et Ng.
Bitter melon (large fruit)	<i>M. charantia</i> L. var. <i>maxima</i> Williams et Ng.
Bottle gourd	<i>Lagenaria siceraria</i>
Cucumber	<i>Cucumis melo</i> L. var. <i>cantaloupensis</i>
Figleaf gourd	<i>Cucurbita ficifolia</i>
Luffa	<i>Luffa cylindrica</i>
Luffa	<i>Luffa aegyptiaca</i>
Melon	<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.
Muskmelon	<i>Cucumis melo</i> L. var. <i>reticulatus</i>
Rockmelon	<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.
Oriental melon	<i>Benincasa hispida</i>
Pumpkin	<i>Cucurbita maxima</i>
Pumpkin	<i>Cucurbita moschata</i>
Pumpkin, summer squash	<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>
Squash	<i>Cucurbita spp.</i>
Watermelon	<i>Citrullus lanatus</i>
Winter melon	<i>Cucumis melo</i> var. <i>inodorus</i>
Common bean	<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.
Eggplant	<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.
Red-pepper	<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.
Peach fruits	<i>Prunus persica</i> (L.) Batsch
Quinoa	<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i> Willd.
Tomato	<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.
Tomato	<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.